## IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE LAGOS ISLAND JUDICIAL DIVISION, IGBOSERE. HOLDEN AT HIGH COURT NO.19 (LANDS DIVISION) BEFORE THE HON. JUSTICE M. O. OBADINA (MRS.)

SUIT NO: LD/1668/2009.

-BETWEEN:

ZUMAX NIGERIAN LIMITED.

Claimant.

-AND:

FIRST INLAND BANK PLC.

Defendant.

STATUS: DEFENCE: (PARTIES REPRESENTED).

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, before the Honorable Justice M. O.Obadina (Mrs.), held at the Lagos State High Court, Lagos Island Judicial Division, Igbosere, on the 14<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2015.

APPEARANCE:

T.A. MOLAJO (SAN),
WITH, AFOLAKE LAOSE (MRS.),
AND OGECHUKWU ENEBELI: For the Claimant.

FEN TOYEBI (SAN), WITH AYODEJI JOLAOSO:

For the Defendant.

Reported By:
AKINBOLATI OLAMIGOKE ANTHONY,
Official Court Reporter,
Court 19.

TRANSCRIPTS ORDERED BY: HON. JUSTICE M.O. OBADINA.

195715

- 1 FEMI ATOYEBI (SAN): That will be the case for the
- 2 first witness for the defence.
- 3 CROSS EXAMINATION OF DEFENDANT'S WITNESS.1. (D.W.1.
- 4 SULE ELAKAMAH)
- 5 BY T.A. MOLAJO (SAN):
- 6 Q. Mr. Sule Elakamah, one preliminary point...?
- 7 THE COURT: I am sorry counsels, in the numbering
- 8 of the exhibits, there is an error. The defendant
- 9 already tendered exhibits D.1, and D.2 through the
- 10 first claimant's witness, so the numbering of these
- 11 exhibits just tendered will be from D.3 to D.17.
- 12 BY T.A. MOLAJO (SAN):

Tiv

- 13 Q. One preliminary point in opening, you gave
- 14 your address, whilst in the box as 8, Matardi
- 15 Street, Wuse Abuja?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Is that your residence?
- 18 A. That is my residence.
- 19 Q. What do you do then, in the address which you
- 20 give in your witness statement?
- 21 A. The address on the witness statement, is the
- 22 head office address, and I currently work in Abuja
- 23 as the head of Legal region, for Abuja, and the
- 24 Northern part of Nigeria.
- Q. Are you saying, this is the address of your
- 26 employer, not yours?
- 27 A. The address on the witness statement, is the
- 28 address of my employer.
- 29 Q. Are you a Legal practitioner?
- 30 A. I am a lawyer, My Lord.

- Q. And, when were you called to the Nigeria Bar?
- A. I was called in 1992.
- 3 Q. You have about 23 years experience.
- 4 A. Yes My Lord.
- Q. Were you once employed by First Inland Bank
- 6 P.L.C.?
- 7 A. Yes My Lord.
- 8 Q. When were you employed by that Bank?
- 9 A. I was employed in 2006 by First Inland Bank.
- 10 Q. And, of course, you now work for, First City
- 11 Merchant Bank?
- 12 A. Yes My Lord.
- 13 Q. When did you started working for F.C.M.B.?
- 14 A. I started working for FCMB in 2012.
- Q. So, you have been a legal officer in a Bank
- 16 since 2006?
- 17 A. Yes My Lord.
- Q. As a legal officer of that length of time, in
- 19 the service of First Inland Bank, and now with
- 20 F.C.M.B, you are of course familiar with the history
- 21 of First Inland Bank?
- 22 A. I am, My Lord.
- 23 Q. You are aware that, during the reforms in the
- 24 Banking industry, of 2005, I.M.B, merged with some
- 25 other Bank's to form, First Inland Bank?
- 26 A. Yes My Lord.
- Q. And, you are no doubt aware that, all the
- 28 assets and liabilities of IMB, were transferred to
- 29 First Inland Bank?
- 30 A. I am aware.

- 1 Q. You are also no doubt aware, that all the
- 2 assets, and liabilities of First Inland Bank, were
- 3 in turn, recently transferred to F.C.M.B, as a
- 4 result of a merger between those two Bank's?
- 5 A. Yes, I am aware.
- 6 Q. As a legal officer of considerable experience
- 7 in a Bank, you are well aware of the essentials of
- 8 good Banking practice?
- 9 A. Yes, I am aware sir.
- 10 Q. Would you agree that, transparency with
- 11 customers, and accuracy, are essential qualities of
- 12 good Banking?
- 13 A. Transparency is essential.
- 14 Q. From your knowledge with the facts of this
- 15 case, would you say that, the 2 Banks for which you
- 16 worked earlier I.M.B, and First Inland Bank,
- 17 practiced good, or bad Banking practice, in relation
- 18 to the funds of the claimant?
- 19 A. The Bank practiced good Banking practice with
- 20 the funds of claimant.
- 21. Q. Would you also agree, that there have been
- 22 serious irregularities by your Bank, starting from
- 23 IMB, and subsequently, First Inland Bank, in the
- 24 running of claimant's account?
- 25 A. I am not aware of any irregularities, My
- 26 Lord.
- Q. Would you agree that, your Bank, starting
- 28 from I.M.B, and subsequently, First Inland Bank,
- 29 made several false, or untrue statements concerning
- 30 the claimant's accounts held with those two (2)

- 1 Banks?
- 2 A. I am not aware of any false statement made by
- 3 the Bank, about the customers account.
- Q. According to you, in your witness statement,
- 5 your Bank, at that time, I.M.B, paid a sum Of
- 6 N68,535,801.00, as commission to the receivers,
- 7 which they appointed, to take over the running of
- 8 the claimant's business?
- 9 A. I am aware of a payment of a little over
- 10 N68,000,000.00, as commission, which the Bank
- 11 immediately corrected, that it was made in error.
- 12 T.A. MOLAJO (SAN): May I have the exhibits.
- 13 BY T.A. MOLAJO (SAN):
- Q. You just volunteered a statement, for which I
- 15 did not ask, but it may be useful to you. Please
- 16 confirm, you said, that a huge sum of money, of over
- 17 68 million, out of the claimant's account, was paid
- 18 in error?
- A. I said, from the letter there, that we
- 20 communicated with the receivers, that the money was
- 21 not due to them. It was paid in error.
- Q. Please turn to paragraph 36 of your latest
- 23 witness statement. Please read out what it says?
- A. "I know that, the defendant strongly disputed
- 25 the sum of N68,535,801.00, which was erroneously
- 26 paid as 10% commission fees, to the receivers
- 27 manager's, as contained in a letter dated, December
- 28 29th, 2003...."
- 29 Q. That over N68 million is the claimant's money
- 30 correct. It was paid out of the claimant's account?

- 1 A. It was paid out erroneously.
- Q. Was it your money?
- A. It was not my money.
- 4 Q. It was the claimant's money, yes?
- 5 A. Okay, I agree with you,
- 6 Q. In order words, what you are telling this
- 7 Honourable Court, is that, your Bank made an error
- 8 as huge as that, in respect of the claimant's money,
- 9 and you said that, your Bank has not been involved
- 10 in any irregularities concerning the claimant's
- 11 money?
- 12 A. I have said, the Bank is not involved in any
- 13 irregularities, because these were things that were
- 14 done in error, and....
- 15 Q. And error is not irregular, is it something
- 16 you do as a matter of practice?
- 17 A. No, it is not done as a matter of practice.
- 18 Q. Now, I show you exhibit C.42 that is the
- 19 letter by which I.M.B, your employer at that time,
- 20 admitted paying that sum of over 68 million naira to
- 21 the receivers in 2003?
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. In your witness statement, paragraph 36, you
- 24 said "I know that the defendant strongly disputed
- 25 the sum of 68 million naira plus, which was
- 26 erroneously paid, as 10% commission, to the
- 27 receivers manager's as contained in his letter
- 28 dated, December 29<sup>th</sup>, 2003; that letter is exhibit
- 29 C.42. What you are saying here, kindly assist the
- 30 Court, you are saying that, you strongly disputed,

direction of

- 1 the payment which you admit was made. You dispute
- 2 something which you admit?
- A. The figure stated in the letter, was paid in
- 4 error, that is why the Bank wrote, and said, the
- 5 receiver manager did not collect as much money, on
- 6 which we are paying you commission, we are going to
- 7 ask you to refund the balance to us.
- 8 Q. What I am asking you to reconcile is, are
- 9 these two (2) apparently contradictory statements,
- 10 you said that the defendant strongly disputed the
- 11 sum of 68 million which was admitted to have been
- 12 paid. How can you dispute something which you
- 13 admit... that's the problem I have with the
- 14 evidence.... If you can't reconcile, just say so?
- 15 A. (WITNESS REMAINED SILENT).
- Q. Are you confused?
- 17 A. I am not confused.
- 18 FEMI ATOYEBI (SAN): My Lord, I think the witness
- 19 has answered the question, he just did now. What he
- 20 said last, I don't want to repeat him, I believe we
- 21 are being recorded live.
- 22 T.A. MOLAJO (SAN): I don't know if my learned
- 23 friend is making an objection, rather than,
- repeating what the witness has said.
- 25 THE COURT: I think we should make progress, Mr.
- 26 Molajo, you can make it an issue for your address,
- 27 if there is any conflict in what the witness has ....
- 28 said. It is a matter of interpretation.
- 29 T.A. MOLAJO (SAN): My Lady, I prefer the hint of
- 30 the Court.

- 1 BY T.A. MOLAJO (SAN):
- Q. You said that, the Bank asked the receivers
- 3 to refund these monies erroneously paid to them?
- 4 A. Yes, the letter I stated earlier, they asked
- 5 them to refund the money after reconciliation,
- 6 because they didn't quite earn the money.
- 7 Q. The money was never refunded?
- 8 A. I am not aware the money was refunded.
- 9 Q. Again, when this matter became the subject of
- 10 a dispute, between your Bank and the claimant, and a
- 11 report was made, by the claimant to C.B.N. Director
- 12 of Banking supervision, did you make any
- 13 representation to the C.B.N.?
- 14 A. I think, the Bank did.
- Q. I will show you, exhibit C.24, is that your
- 16 representation to the C.B.N. Director of Banking
- 17 supervision?
- 18 (DOCUMENT SHOWN TO WITNESS).
- 19 A. Yes, My Lord, it is.
- 20 Q. Please turn to page 2 of C.24, I direct your

TENIN.

- 21 attention to paragraph C. In that paragraph, you
- 22 stated that, and I quote, "The total sum of,
- 23 N399,537, 500.00, was recovered by inflows into the
- 24 companies account with IMB, between February and
- 25 September 2003, no inflows were received after
- 26 September 2003. Those dates are significant,
- 27 because in exhibit C.24, you are claiming a little
- 28 over 399 million, was received into the claimant's
- 29 account between those two (2) dates, February, and
- 30 September, 2003. Am I correct?

- 1 A. My Lord this claim was made, and
- 2 subsequently, before the Banker's committee, the
- 3 Bank made representation to say, there were omission
- 4 that were not added to that figure, which made this
- 5 figure not to be the only figure that came into the
- 6 customer's account.
- 7 Q. You are saying again, that the Bank made q
- 8 mistake?
- 9 A. There was an error, and because of that
- 10 error, a payment that came in, was cmitted, which
- 1.1 was subsequently added up to it.
- Q. We have been through error number two (2). I
- 13 will refer back to exhibit C.42, please look at the
- 14 paragraph numbered as one (1). In that paragraph,
- 15 what I.M.B. claimed to have come into the account of
- 16 the claimant, was a different sum, N441,775,000.00.
- 17 Am I correct?
- 18 A. You are correct.
- Q. Please confirm, those 2 figures, were in
- 20 respect of the same period of time?
- 21 A. I confirm that, the figures quoted in this
- 22 exhibit C.42, 441, is the amount of inflow that came
- 23 into it. Our group audit department did a further
- 24 review of this account, and confirm this figure that
- 25 IMB has earlier on stated in here, and that witness
- 26 will throw more light, when he is called into this
- 27 witness box.
- 28 Q. What I want you to address is the time line
- 29 within which this monies is alleged to have come in,
- 30 I am saying to you, and I want to confirm or dispute

- 1 it that, both the 399 as claimed in C.24, and the
- 2 441, and these are millions, as claimed in exhibit
- 3 C.42, were said to have come in, during the same
- 4 time frame?
- 5 A. My lord, the internal audit staff that is
- 6 coming will give that clarification, I am unable to
- 7 do that.
- 8 Q. Look at paragraph 1 of C.42, the total inflow
- 9 in the account from January 2003 to date, what is
- 10 the date of the letter?
- 11 A. 29<sup>th</sup> of December, 2003.
- 12 Q. Is 441 plus million, correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. Look at C.24, second page, paragraph C, the
- 15 total sum of 399 million plus, was recovered under
- 16 the receivership etc, between February and
- 17 September, 2003. No inflows were received after
- 18 September, 2003. Do you now agree that they relate
- 19 to the same period.
- 20 A. Thave said the group internal audit that did
- 21 the review will confirm those figures that came into
- 22 this account.
- 23 Q. Just confirm, again in the obvious, the
- 24 figures submitted to the C.B.N. in exhibit C.24, in
- 25 2006, is very different, indeed much lower than the
- 26 figures stated in exhibit C.42, in 2003. You
- 27 confirm?
- 28 A. I confirm that the figure is lower.
- 29 Q. Much lower, a difference of about 300 hundred
- 30 million?

- A. 300 hundred million, I am not seeing 300
- 2 hundred million.
- 3 Q. In order words you are saying, two (2)
- 4 contradictory figures, relating to the same account,
- 5 and the same period as inflows were submitted to the
- 6 C.B.N. by your Bank?
- 7 A. I am saying those who reconciled the account,
- 8 the internal audit, will throw more light into all
- 9 that is stated there.
- 10 Q. I am not asking you for light, I am asking
- 11 for confirmation?
- 12 A. They will confirm it.
- Q. I will at least, ask you to confirm which is
- 14 true, exhibit C.24, or exhibit C.42?
- 1.5 A. The true figure is the 441 that is reviewed
- 16 by the audit department.
- 17 Q. Exhibit C.42 is true, exhibit C.24, is
- 18 untrue?
- 19 A. You requested for confirmation, I have
- 20 confirmed, the true figure that is correct, is the
- 21 441, reviewed by the internal audit department,
- 22 through a further reconciliation of that account.
- 23 Q. Look at paragraph 27 of your witness
- 24 statement, in that paragraph, you have stated yet
- 25 another figure for the same period as the total
- 26 received in the claimant's account during the
- 27 receivership, is that not true. What is that figure
- 28 stated there?
- A. It is 461 million.
- Q. You say, the 441 is correct, what about this

Talines I described varion only not for commercial use

- 1 one?
- 2 A. I have said, the group audit department
- 3 reviewed the figure to be 441.
- 4 Q. So, this is incorrect?
- 5 A. That figure is a wrong figure, the correct
- 6 one is the 441.
- 7 Q. Look at paragraph 29 of your witness
- 8 statement, there you said, and I read, "the
- 9 defendant has explained in his letter, to the
- 10 Banker's sub committee on ethics and
- 11 professionalism, dated August 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2006 already
- 12 pleaded by the claimant, that the variance of the
- 13 sum of N17,187,500.00, between N416,735,000.00, and
- 14 N399,537,500.00 was the inadvertent omission of
- 15 N17,187,500.00 lodged in the account. What you are
- 16 saying here is that, yet again, the Bank omitted
- 17 the sum of 17,187,500.00 lodged into the claimant's
- 18 account?
- 19 A. It was omitted.
- 20 Q. Are you seriously saying to this Court,
- 21 Mr. Elakamah, that all these irregularities, which I
- 22 have drawn your attention, are genuine mistakes from
- 23 a Bank?
- A. I will consider them to be genuine mistakes,
- 25 because they were not intended, they were omissions
- 26 which were not deliberate.
- Q. Just to be clear, the alleged over payment to
- 28 the receiver, in what sum was it?
- 29 A. That amount about 50 million is stated in
- 30 that exhibit.

Falinas Falinational various anti- nat far gommargial van

- 1 Q. 50 million of customers money. This
- 2 17 million, is your explanation for the difference
- 3 between which two (2) figures?
- A. Between the 399 and the 416. That is the 17
- 5 million that is in between them.
- 6 Q. You have no explanation, for the difference
- 7 between the 441 and 399?
- 8 A. The difference between 399, and 416, is the
- 9 17 million that is in between them. If you add it to
- 10 it sir, it will get to the 416.
- 11 Q. You are saying that, has the Bank taken into
- 12 account the 17 million, the 416 would have amounted
- 13 to 441?
- 14 A. There was sir, a further reconciliation as I
- 15 have said, if you allow me to say, revealed that the
- 16 figure was 441, that was because there was an
- 17 omission of 25 million.
- 18 Q. There is another 25 million?
- 19 A. There was.
- 20 T.A. MOLAJO (SAN); N25,000,000,00 of customers
- 21 money out through the window. I am prepared to go
- 22 on, but the heat is getting to me. I will be on
- 23 it, for an hour and half.
- 24 FEMI ATOYEBI (SAN): We are prepared to go on, the
- 25 witness comes from Abuja.
- 26 T.A. MOLAJO (SAN): Let me strain myself more.
- 27 THE COURT: I am not sure if I will be able to
- 28 spare one and half hour. There are others matters
- 29 stood down to go on.
- 30 THE COURT: This case is adjourned to the 9<sup>th</sup> and

25<sup>th</sup> of June, 2015; for continuation of trial.

21.

Tolinea Educational varian and not for commercial use